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Abstract
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1 Introduction

The general belief has historically been that the recipients of foreign direct investment (FDI) from wealthy countries has been poorer countries. However, Markusen (2002) showed that the wealthy countries tend to invest in each other, so the flow of investment is primarily between the west and east, rather than from north to south, leaving the poorer African countries in an FDI wasteland. So what happens in the poorer countries? Many receive foreign aid, but is it doing its job? Does the aid improve the conditions in the countries to the extent that the country becomes able to attract FDI on its own? Can self-sufficiency be achieved for these chronic aid recipients? This paper aims to answer these questions, by looking at data from 1970 to 2004 for three African countries that are classified as heavily indebted poor countries (HIPC). All three have been receiving aid for the whole period studied, but all three have managed to attract some level of FDI in more recent years. What is the connection between the aid and the FDI? This is a challenging question, given the marginality of FDI inflow for the countries, because most functions to analyze FDI are really only designed for positive values. In this case, the zeros are also interesting and do have meaning, so a zero-friendly function is required. I therefore choose to use the Inverse Hyperbolic Sine functional format so the zeros in the data can be used productively, unlike an logarithmic function that simply ignores them. Furthermore, since the FDI and aid are so intertwined, I use the simultaneous equation system in order to explore the interrelation.

In the developing countries, FDI investment tends to be greenfield rather than brownfield, meaning that it’s less likely to be in the form of joint ventures or mergers and acquisitions (M&As). This means that FDI data is less convoluted in developing countries and the flow data can be applied directly rather than proxied by affiliate sales figures as done by Brainard (1997), Ekholm et al. (2003) or Markusen (2002). The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 sets out the literature and Section 3 explains the modelling strategy used and data. In Section 4 estimation results are presented. Finally, Section 5 provides summary and conclusions.
Figure 1: FDI inflow and Aid inflow to the sample countries.

Ghana: FDI inflow and Aid inflow.

Malawi: FDI inflow and Aid inflow.

Mozambique: FDI inflow and Aid inflow.

Available literature on FDI in the developing countries often tends to consider the contribution of FDI to growth, as shown in papers by De Mello (1997), Balasubramanyam et al. (1996) and Borensztein et al. (1998). Borensztein et al. (1998) suggest that the host country of FDI needs to have a minimum threshold of human capital, to experience higher productivity of FDI. Some papers have looked at how FDI affects domestic firms in the local market, these would be papers like Aitken and Harrison (1999), and Aitken et al. (1997). Also, a paper by Edwards (1990) looks at debt-equity swaps in the developing countries.

Moreover, when considering aid flows and their contribution to shifting the economy into a higher equilibrium, a paper by Dalgaard et al. (2004) provides some interesting insights on how growth is impacted by aid flows, based on literature of some Burnside and Dollar papers. The variables selected in my paper somewhat correspond to the Dalgaard et al. (2004) paper.

Figure 2: Total FDI inflow and Aid inflow to Ghana, Malawi and Mozambique.


Finding useful way to capture FDI has proven to be a difficult task, and therefore
some researchers have chosen to look specifically into issues regarding that. In a paper by Lane and Milesi-Ferretti (2003) the concentration is set on the composition of FDI and possible ways of correcting for M&As in the sample when estimating FDI.

In most of the recent literature, affiliate sales are commonly used as a proxy for FDI in a particular host country. With the objective of determining the shift between trade and multinational sales, Brainard (1997) analyzes multinational activities. In this well known paper, Brainard (1997) chooses to capture outward and inward FDI with separate measures as the share of affiliate sales in total exports, or to put it differently, share of overall foreign sales. More specifically, Brainard (1997) chooses to apply data on multinational activities in the United States, and countries trading with the United States. In her research, Brainard seeks to find ways to determine the willingness multinational enterprises have for entering into export, rather than overcoming the threshold of making foreign direct investment in a particular country. In her analysis, Brainard applies the proportion of export volume in overall corporate sales as the dependent variable, and this is measure is applied rather than applying sales of foreign affiliates in overall sales. The findings obtained by Brainard indicate that multinationals are more interested in entering into foreign production in the form of foreign direct investment, than seeking to export to markets in other countries, following an increase in the cost of transporting and with an increase in barriers to invest. It also stimulates investment when conditions are such that foreign plant scale economies are increasing relative to scale economies of the firm.

Another more recent example of a share measure can be found in a paper by Slaughter (2000). Slaughter seeks to proxy foreign direct investment by using the share of majority owned affiliates in the total of investment made by multinationals. On one hand Slaughter (2000) uses share of skilled labor in overall wage cost as the dependent variable of the equation, and the other hand he uses share of capital stocks an explanatory variable.
3 Model and Data

When choosing variables for the regressions, I use some of the variables Dalgaard et al. (2001) for estimating the connection between aid and growth. Since some of the sample data turn out to be negative, these are transformed by the inverse hyperbolic sine (IHS) procedure rather than the logarithm function.

A simultaneous equation model is applied here, which allows for simultaneous estimation of aid as function of foreign direct investment and investment as function of aid. More specifically, this procedure allows me to avoid the possible simultaneity which might exist among explanatory variables. I base the choice of variables somewhat on a paper by Dalgaard et al. (2004). In their paper Dalgaard et al. (2004) use GDP, population, fraction of land in tropic, and budget surplus among other variables when estimating the interaction of aid and growth.

The basic equation, Equation (1) goes as follows:

\[
AID_{inflow_{i,t}} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 FDI_{inflow_{i,t}} + \alpha_2 POP_{i,t} \\
+ \alpha_3 GDP_{i,t} + \epsilon_{1,i,t}
\]

\[
FDI_{inflow_{i,t}} = \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 AID_{inflow_{i,t}} + \alpha_6 CROP_{i,t} \\
+ \alpha_7 CA_{balance_{i,t}} + \epsilon_{2,i,t}
\]

In Equation (1) the endogenous variables aid and FDI are functions of each other, as well as being function of other relevant variables for aid and FDI. The recipient country of FDI or aid, is denoted with (i), with the recipient countries being Ghana, Malawi and Mozambique.

Since I prefer to estimate the equation in a linear format, and change the notation, I now present it in a log-linear format as show in Equation (2). The model specification for the three-stage least-squares regression estimation for systems of simultaneous equations, for a linear regression format, can be presented as follows:
\[
\sinh^{-1}(AID\_inflow_{i,t}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \sinh^{-1}(FDI\_inflow_{i,t}) \\
+ \beta_2 \sinh^{-1}(POP_{i,t}) + \beta_3 \sinh^{-1}(GDP_{i,t}) + \zeta_{1,i,t} \\
\sinh^{-1}(FDI\_inflow_{i,t}) = \beta_4 + \beta_5 \sinh^{-1}(AID\_inflow_{i,t}) + \beta_6 \sinh^{-1}(CROP_{i,t}) \\
+ \beta_7 \sinh^{-1}(CA\_balance_{i,t}) + \zeta_{2,i,t}
\] (2)

The simultaneous equation system presents AID inflow to the sample countries over time (t) by \((AID\_inflow_{i,t})\) in Equation (2a), and FDI inflow over time (t) by \((FDI\_inflow_{i,t})\) in Equation (2b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDI INFLOW_i,t</td>
<td>The foreign direct investment data net inflows (BoP, current USD) into host country (i), over time (t).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AID INFLOW_i,t</td>
<td>International Development Association (IDA) loans, which are defined as long-term no interest loans provided to the poorest developing countries. IDA has the role of supporting anti-poverty programs in the host country (i), over time (t).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROP_i,t</td>
<td>Crop production index (1999-2001 = 100) in host country (i), over time (t).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA BALANCE_i,t</td>
<td>Current account balance (BoP, current USD) for country (i), over time (t).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP_i,t</td>
<td>Population, total in the host country (i), over time (t).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP_i,t</td>
<td>Gross domestic product, current USD in the host country (i), over time (t).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Aid, that is IDA financial flows into individual countries are defined by the World Bank (2006B) as net financial flows, AID (current US$). World Bank (2006B) defines net financial flows as the disbursements of loans and credits less repayments of principal.
Table 2. Summary Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>OBS</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>Std.</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDI inflow_{i,t}</td>
<td>current US$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.79e+07</td>
<td>6.94e+07</td>
<td>-1.83e+07</td>
<td>2.44e+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AID inflow_{i,t}</td>
<td>current US$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.11e+08</td>
<td>9.35e+07</td>
<td>2200000</td>
<td>2.45e+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROP_{i,t}</td>
<td>prod. index</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>61.69143</td>
<td>26.97556</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>121.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA balance_{i,t}</td>
<td>current US$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-1.99e+08</td>
<td>2.35e+08</td>
<td>-9.64e+08</td>
<td>2.55e+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP_{i,t}</td>
<td>total</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.46e+07</td>
<td>3975582</td>
<td>8982592</td>
<td>2.17e+07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP_{i,t}</td>
<td>current US$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.98e+09</td>
<td>1.76e+09</td>
<td>2.13e+09</td>
<td>8.87e+09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inverse Hyperbolic Sine Variable Format</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDI inflow_{i,t}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AID inflow_{i,t}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROP_{i,t}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA balance_{i,t}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP_{i,t}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP_{i,t}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Foreign Direct Investment is obtained from the World Bank (2006B), and these are foreign direct investment data net inflows (BoP, current US$).

During the period estimated, FDI flows, aid flows and the current account occasionally turn negative, within particular years. This can happen if dividend payment from the host country to the source country is higher than the investments made in a particular year.

As to deal with these cases of zeros and negatives, the dependent variables of FDI and aid are estimated by using the so-called inverse hyperbolic sine (IHS) procedure, rather than a logarithm transformation\(^1\).

Generally FDI is believed to give an indication of the long-term incentives of an investor, and can therefore potentially be considered to be a substitute for the International Development Association (IDA) loans, which are defined as long-term no interest loans provided to the poorest developing countries. IDA has the role of supporting anti-poverty programs.

\(^1\) The difference between the two functional forms is clearly visible for zeros and negative values.

I use World Bank data for all the variables estimated, all estimates are received using STATA 8.0.
4 Estimation Results

The regression results from estimating level data Equation (1a) and Equation (1b) simultaneously for aid and FDI, are presented in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regressors</th>
<th>Ghana</th>
<th>Ghana, Moz., Malawi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equation 1a</td>
<td>Equation 1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AID_inflow_{i,t}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI_inflow_{i,t}</td>
<td>-.202</td>
<td>.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.64)</td>
<td>(0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP_{i,t}</td>
<td>9.954</td>
<td>6.049**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.49)</td>
<td>(1.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP_{i,t}</td>
<td>.034***</td>
<td>.010**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.07)</td>
<td>(2.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-Sq.</td>
<td>0.7018</td>
<td>0.4897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td>72.75</td>
<td>77.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equation 1b</td>
<td>Equation 1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI_inflow_{i,t}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AID_inflow_{i,t}</td>
<td>-.176</td>
<td>.393***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-1.08)</td>
<td>(2.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROP_{i,t}</td>
<td>2041060***</td>
<td>1363558***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4.95)</td>
<td>(3.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA_balance_{i,t}</td>
<td>-.1088126**</td>
<td>-.154***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-2.79)</td>
<td>(-5.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-Sq.</td>
<td>0.6298</td>
<td>0.5968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td>57.06</td>
<td>126.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: t-statistics are in parentheses below the coefficients. ***, ** and * denote significance levels of 1%, 5% and 10%, respectively.

The results from estimating Equation (1a) first present aid inflow ($AID_{inflow_{i,t}}$) to the sample countries (Malawi, Mozambique and Ghana) as function of FDI inflow ($FDI_{inflow_{i,t}}$), Population ($POP_{i,t}$) and gross domestic product, GDP ($GDP_{i,t}$).
Then the results from estimating Equation (1b) are presented with FDI inflow as function of aid inflow, crop production and the current account balance.

The simultaneous equations for Ghana are somewhat as could be expected. Taken together, in the case of Ghana, FDI and aid are not found to complement each other, that is they are found to be substitutes rather than complements. However, when Mozambique and Malawi are also included in the sample, FDI flows and aid flows are found to complement, rather than substitute, each other. Increase in aid inflows is found to significantly positively affect FDI inflow. These results can be found to support the hypothesis implying that when the HIPC countries experience a higher income per capita, as is the case for Ghana in comparison with Malawi and Mozambique\(^2\), then complementary effects diminish, while supplementary effect increase with FDI inflows increasingly substituting for aid inflows.

Furthermore, as the estimates reported in Table 3 show, aid inflows are estimated to be positively affected by an increase in population and GDP. FDI is estimated to be positively affected by crop production, however negatively affected by the current account balance, these results are as could be expected.

Let us next look at the regression results obtained from estimating Equation (2), when using an inverse hyperbolic sine functional format (\(\sinh^{-1}\)) for all the variables. These results are presented in Table 4. Before interpreting the results for Table 4, the historical development of the sample countries Ghana, Malawi and Mozambique deserves more attention. Ghana is sometimes viewed by international institutions as having a development success story, whereas Mozambique had civil war during the period analyzed, and Malawi suffered from dictatorship.

In Table 4, the logarithm equations represent elasticity coefficients, indicating the percentage change in dependent variable following a one percentage increase in one of the explanatory variables. The main conclusion to be drawn from Table 4 is that on the margin, aid inflow appears to complement FDI. Also, population is

\(^2\)In 1990 Ghana had GDP 211, Malawi 131 and Mozambique 163, and in 2000 Ghana had GDP 251, Malawi 151 and Mozambique 211, these are GDPs (constant 2000 US$), World Bank (2006B).
found to have more significant positive effects on aid than GDP, and crop less effect. As for the whole sample, variables are found to be less significant than before.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Inverse Hyperbolic Sine Function Estimation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regressors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equation 2a</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sinh^{-1}(AID_{inflow_{i,t}})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sinh^{-1}(FDI_{inflow_{i,t}})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sinh^{-1}(POP_{i,t})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sinh^{-1}(GDP_{i,t})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBS.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R-Sq.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$\chi^2$</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Equation 2b** | **Equation 2b** |
| $\sinh^{-1}(FDI_{inflow_{i,t}})$ | 6.663 (2.53) | 5.622 (1.43) |
| $\sinh^{-1}(AID_{inflow_{i,t}})$ | -7.485 (-1.29) | -2.273 (-0.27) |
| $\sinh^{-1}(CROP_{i,t})$ | -0.382 (-0.52) | 0.302 (0.32) |
| **OBS.** | 30 | 77 |
| **R-Sq.** | 0.1528 | -1.3301 |
| **$\chi^2$** | 12.71 | 5.16 |

Note: t-statistics are in parentheses below the coefficients. ***, ** and * denote significance levels of 1%, 5% and 10%, respectively.
5 Summary and conclusions

This research has the objective of determining whether aid flows and FDI inflows in some of the HIPC countries can be found to be supplements or complements, when analyzed over a period of time. Generally FDI is believed to give an indication of the long-term incentives of an investor, and can therefore be considered to be substitute for International Development Association (IDA) loans, which are defined as long-term no interest loans to the poorest developing countries.

An an important issue of concern is whether there is a shift from financial aid flows to FDI flows, when the economies move to a higher equilibrium, as reflected in higher income per capita. Estimates are obtained for the HIPC countries Ghana, Malawi and Mozambique, with Ghana having higher GDP than the other two countries. These countries are estimated together in one sample, and Ghana is also estimated individually as to provide a comparison to the other two countries. One of the concerns to be considered in this context is that HIPC data may be somewhat trouble some. Flows may be have zero values, or turn negative. Therefore I choose to apply an inverse hyperbolic sine function in order as to deal with these countries, since that functional form allows for estimation of negative flows and zeros.

In conclusion, in the case of Ghana, FDI and aid are found to substitute, rather than complement each other. However, when Mozambique and Malawi are included in the sample, FDI flows and aid flows are found to complement each other.

Overall, the results can be found to support the theory that when the HIPC countries experience a higher income per capita, as in the case for Ghana in comparison with Malawi and Mozambique, complementary effects diminish at the cost of supplementary effects. With FDI inflows increasingly substituting for aid flows.
6 Appendix A

I use the definition by World Bank (2006A) on the Heavily indebted poor countries (HIPC) countries\textsuperscript{3}.

\textsuperscript{3}These countries are:
Benin
Bolivia
Burkina Faso
Burundi
Cameroon
Central African Republic
Chad
Comoros
Congo, Rep.
Côte d’Ivoire
Ethiopia
Gambia, The
Ghana
Guinea
Guinea-Bissau
Guyana
Honduras
Lao PDR
Liberia
Madagascar
Malawi
Mali
Mauritania
Mozambique
Myanmar
Nicaragua
Niger
Rwanda
São Tomé and Principe
Senegal
Sierra Leone
Somalia
Sudan
Tanzania
Togo
Uganda
Zambia
The Inverse Hyperbolic Sine function has a similar functional shape as the logarithm function for positive values as Figure 3 exhibits. The Inverse Hyperbolic Sine Function can be presented as 
\[ \sinh^{-1}(x) = \ln(x + (1 + x^2)^{0.5}) \]

Figure 3. Inverse Hyperbolic Sine and Natural Logarithm Functions
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